UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,324	07/08/2003	Takashi Kishigami	F-7889	1319
	7590 10/10/200 HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N	D STREET	MCLEAN, NEIL R		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, N	NY 10168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,324	KISHIGAMI, TAKASHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Nell K. McLean	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	porrosponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 Soc attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (FTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owable ii subifilited iii a separate,	uniely filed afficildifier	it canceling the
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached before attached by See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	Р 1 0/56/06) Рарег No(s)		
/David K Moore/	/Neil R. McLean/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	Examiner, Art Unit 2625		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicant's Argument on page 19, lines 17-19 wherein applicant states "the present invention include the structure necessary for mixing together the the sheets printed by the first and second image forming apparatuses, such mixing being nowhere effected in the Tokura reference" and Applicant's Argument on page 24, line17 - page 25, line 2 wherein applicant states that the Tokura reference "fails to teach (1) the above described signal conveyance and (2) the controlled mixing of color and black and white pages together onto a tray. According to the processing (1) and (2) performed in the second image forming apparatus, color/monochromatic-printed recording sheets are serialized in a sequential order and discharged to the discharging tray of one image forming apparatus.".

Examiner's Response:

The limitations that the applicant refers to are Species that were non-elected in the Applicants Argument/Remards made in an amendment dated 9/19/2007. Specifically, Species III was the embodiment disclosed on page 18, line 24 - page 19, line 5 of the Applicant's specification; in particular; "an image forming system operable to allow recording materials with monochrome images formed by the first image forming apparatus to be set in a sheet feed tray of the second image forming apparatus." and Species IV disclosed on page 30, lines 8-17, in particular "the color printed recording materials is stocked in a double side printing intermediate tray of the second image forming apparatus in order of page number and the monochrome printed recording materials are set in a post processing tray of a post processing device, they the two materials are subsequently mixed together in order of page number."

These species were found to be independent and/or distinct and were not elected by the applicant. The Examiner recognized the applicant's Election of Species I with traverse in the reply filed on 9/19/2007 which the Examiner pointed out in the Non Final Rejection dated 11/27/2007 in which the Examiner responded to applicant's argument.